

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THOMAS E. ROSSO,

Defendant.

4:22CR3089

ORDER

Defendant has moved to continue the in-court hearing, (Filing No. 35), because Defendant has new counsel who needs additional time review this case and confer with the defendant before deciding if pretrial motions should be filed. The motion to continue is unopposed. Based on the showing set forth in the motion, the court finds the motion should be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's motion to continue, (Filing No. 35), is granted.
- 2) The in-court hearing scheduled for January 18, 2023 is cancelled. A telephonic conference with counsel will be held before the undersigned magistrate judge at 1:45 p.m. on March 22, 2023 to discuss setting a change of plea hearing, or the date of the jury trial and deadlines for disclosing experts as required under Rule 16. Counsel for all parties shall use the conferencing instructions provided by the court to participate in the call.
- 3) The ends of justice served by granting the motion to continue outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and the additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, the time between today's date and March 22, 2023 shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, because although counsel have been duly diligent, additional time is needed to adequately prepare this case for trial and failing to grant additional time might

result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1) & (h)(7). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 17th day of January, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Cheryl R. Zwart
United States Magistrate Judge